

CABINET MEMBER DECISION



**SOUTH
KESTEVEN
DISTRICT
COUNCIL**

Decision:

That approval is granted to appoint Hardy Construction (Stamford) Ltd for the essential roofing repairs at Stamford Art Centre for a term of 6 months and at a cost of £188,564.10.

(1) Details of Decision

To seek approval for the appointment of the successful roofing contractor following an open tender of the essential roofing repairs to the Stamford Arts Centre. The contract will be for a period of 6 months.

(2) Considerations/Evidence

Consultation has been held with Welland Procurement to establish the most appropriate route of procurement from either framework, restricted tender or an open tender exercise.

From this consultation an open tender exercise has been identified as the most appropriate route for the roof repair works to Stamford Arts Centre. This is an advertised process using the mandatory procurement portals. This process included no shortlisting and therefore, any interested bidder had the opportunity to submit a full tender. The criteria meant that the winning bidder would be the bidder with the highest evaluation score, based on 60% cost and 40% quality. Approval to proceed with this approach was provided by the Director of Growth within the Procurement Initiation Document dated 27 July 2020.

(3) Reasons for Decision:

The contract is for roofing replacement/repairs and associated works including the removal or restoration of the existing Collywestern slate roof covering to the Stamford Arts Centre, which is a listed building. These works include replacement/refurbishment of the coverings, leadworks, rainwater goods and associated timber treatment/repair works.

In accordance with the Public Contract Regulations 2015, this Tender opportunity was advertised on Contracts Finder (published 21st August 2020).

On publication of the Contract Notice, organisations were asked to register

their interest via the Council's "ProContract" e-Sourcing portal, where Tender documents were available. A total of 25 expressions of interest were received, resulting in six Tender submissions.

The Tender was made up of two questionnaire sets: one questionnaire for the selection criteria questions, and one for award criteria questions.

The award criteria questions considered the merit of the eligible Tenders in order to identify the most economically advantageous Tender.

The Council evaluated the award criteria with a quality assessment worth **40%** and a price assessment worth **60%**. See Appendix 1 (Exempt) for the details of the tender submissions.

The bidder's response to each quality question was evaluated and marked a maximum of 10 marks.

Following the completion of the evaluation and moderation process the scores awarded to the participants were as follows:

1 st	Bidder 1	79%
2 nd	Bidder 2	62.45%
3 rd	Bidder 3	61.01%
4 th	Bidder 4	55.38%
	Bidder 5	NA (rejected due to timescales)
	Bidder 6	NA (rejected before evaluation)

Conflicts of Interest

(Any conflict of interest declared by any other Cabinet Member consulted in relation to the decision to be recorded).

None

Dispensations

(Any dispensation granted by the Monitoring Officer in respect of any declared conflict of interest to be noted).

None

Decision taken by:

Name: Councillor Kelham Cooke
The Leader and Cabinet Member for Corporate Services and Property

Date of Decision: 28 October 2020

Date of Publication of Record of Decision: 29 October 2020

Date decision effective (i.e. 5 days after the date of publication of record of decision unless subject to call-in by the Chairman of an Overview and Scrutiny Committee or any 5 members of the Council from any political groups):

6 November 2020